G. R. S. IX-5]

Previous references. 1890-91

~~191~~ .

(21)

File No. 2295

Serial Nos. 1-10

Later references.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 1-10 (30)

Major ~~Part~~ B for May 94.

SUBJECT.

Levy of higher rates of assessment on Sugar Cane lands of one Subbarayappa of Nosenur Village of Anekal Taluk.

W D 88—GPB—50,000—24-7-16

Inde

Memo in Continuation

16. In accordance with the Offg. Dewan's instructions dt 11 January 93 in red ink on the memo. the Deputy Commrs of Bangalore and Mysore were requested to submit a statement shewing how much is collected as "Fasal Jasti" in the unsettled Taluks in their respective Districts.

G.P. No: 12094-5 dt 16-1-93.

17. In reply the Deputy Commr of Mysore District says that the system does not prevail in the District and that the information furnished in his letter No: 777 dated 24th Nov 1892 is not correct.

D. Commrs No: 14288 dt 1-6-93.

18. The Deputy Commr of Bangalore also states that nothing was collected for the year Khara 1891-92 in the unsettled Taluks of Cheennapatna and Kankanhalli.

† S. No: 5

Submitted for orders, which so far as the present case is concerned have already been suggested.

D. Commrs No: 860 dt 25-1-94.

8/2/94

14/2/94

In Gratuity;

7/5

2

1
S. No 2
e

Translation of "Takeed" No: 92 dated 12th March 1846, from Amildar Ramarao to Hyder Sab. Sheikdar of Haregaddé.

Iyar dar Eagaloor Kaulégowda having reported that Gollahalli Kempégowda, Nosénur Chen-Chareddi, Poojaree Ajjayya, and Kumbaranahalli Ankeereddi have tilled the Inam lands, belonging to Anékal Sri Rangachari in the Modalére (ಮೊದಲೇ) under the tank in the village of Nosénur, Haregaddéhobli, for the purpose of raising Sugar cane crop this year; + that in consequence Govt: paddy-fields and Sugar-Cane gardens, of Batayee Cultivation under the said

tank

tank will be dried up for
want of water, and that loss
will be caused to Govt;
You will ~~take~~ attention is invited
to Sale Dy: Huzur Cir no 122
which was already commu-
-nicated to you under a
Separate Jabeed directing
that Sugar cane crop should
not be raised on Maan
lands; that when the crop
is raised in a garden land
a higher rate of assessment
at 4 fanams per ~~cawny~~ Kuda
would be levied; and if they
are raised on Maan lands
irrigated by Govt: tank
water, a higher rate of assessment at
8 fanams per Kuda would be levied.
Particular attention should
be bestowed on the paddy-
-fields and Sugar cane
gardens and you should
see that they are not dried
up

Docket No 132 dated 4th August 192 from the Dy Commissioner of the Bangalore District.

Vide Serial No 2/d

x. Narasimma Reddi
Venkat Naran Bhatta.
Venkatasubbabhatta.
Tolij - Maddura
Nirganti - Lavanga.

Vide S.N. 2/e
Translation Submitted.

Memo:

Soobrayappa, Shanbog Hukkadar of the Nosenur village in the Anekal Taluk, Bangalore District reported in the year 1889 to Major Macinbire the then Deputy Commissioner of the Bangalore District that the marginally noted x individuals of the Nosenur village of the Anekal Taluk, had cultivated their main lands under Nosenur Tank with Sugar-cane crop, causing serious loss to other rayats for want of water, and that higher rates or Fasal Jasti might be levied on their lands.

2. In Support of his Statement the Shanbhog produced a "Takeed" dated 12th March 1846 issued by the Amildar to the Shekdar of Haragadde, directing

directing him to levy higher rates of Kandayam if Sugar-cane crops were raised on their lands.

3. Mr: Clerk, the then Deputy Commissioner on enquiry gave orders for the levy of higher rates of assessment on these lands as the Taluk had not been Settled. Vide S.N. 2/K.

4. The Amildar thereupon reported that the parties object to pay the higher rates levied on them, on the grounds that their lands were "wet" and that Similar System was not in force in other Taluks. Vide Rept no 859 d. 5/11/89 (S.N. 2/K).

5. On this Mr: P. N. Krishna-Murti the then Deputy Commr: gave orders disallowing the levy of ~~the~~ higher rates if they had not been brought into accounts and collected. Vide Order no: 681 d. 15/12/89 (S.N. 2/K)

6. The Amildar reported that the higher rates had Report no: 253 d. 23/3/90. (S.N. 2/K).

not

imposed on the lands.

11. The circumstances under which Mr: Krishna Murti stopped the levy of higher rates on the ground of ~~it~~ their not having been collected are not therefore quite apparent.

12. The Deputy Commissioner may therefore be requested to Submit a Statement Shewing the heigher rates of assessment recovered from the Rayats holding lands below his bank on account of Fasal Jasti System which was in force till recently, distinguishing therein the Government as well as Inam ~~villages~~ lands on which the heigher rates were collected.

13. The Taluk having been Settled in the year 1891 the orders that may be passed in this case will take retrospective effect up to the year 1891.

P.D. 27/8.

10

14. Submitted with Mysore Dy Comr's letter No 777 dated 24th November 1892, stating that the system of levying higher rates or Dasal Jasti on Inam lands is in force in the unsettled portion of the Chamrajnagar Taluk —

15. In the case under notice, the Inam land was wet land when the Inam was granted — I donot understand why any Dasal Jasti should be levied on it if sugar cane crops are raised thereon My Inamdar is entitled to the water all the same —

24/12

Dy Comr Mys

Sir

The Dy Comr may be asked that in this land being wet Inam when the Inam was granted the Inamdar is entitled to the water for sugar cane crop & should be disallowed

Asst 10/1

++ Before levying Dasal Jasti on any land classed as wet land, it must be ascertained whether the land is entitled to water from any source for irrigation purposes. If it is entitled to water from any source, Dasal Jasti may be levied on it, whether it is actually irrigated or not. If it is not entitled to water from any source, Dasal Jasti cannot be levied on it, even though it is actually irrigated. Govt. 11/1/93

I think that in unsettled Taluks the assessment of wet lands ordinarily called "Wet" rate is levied at Land Cess rate for the year in which a 2nd crop (Sugarcane or Mulberry) are raised — This is a bad system & may be ordered to be discontinued altogether

Asst 10/1

Dro. 1095.

9/3/91.

MYSORE DEWAN'S OFFICE,

PETITION DEPARTMENT.

OFFICIAL MEMORANDUM.

Section
File No
Serial No

The accompanying Petition No. 2904
dated 12th March/90 received at the Dewan's Office from Subbarayappa Shanbogue Hukdar of Nosenur village of the Anekal Taluk,

is forwarded, together with its two enclosures, to the Dy. Commissioner Bangalore District for report.

Drafted as per Ch. Secy's order

By Order,

Chief Secretary

BANGALORE, 28th February 1891.
9-3-91.

D No. 12.
Encln 1 ple

(2)

By Commissioners Office
Bangalore District.
Dated 6th April 1891.

To

The Chief Secretary to the
Dewan of Mysore.

Sir,

In returning the enclosures received with your Official Memo No. 1095 dated 28th February 1891, I have the honor to state that in March 1889, the Petitioner Subraya-appa brought to the notice of the then Deputy Commissioner, that the marginally noted* Ryots of Nosenur village in the Anekal Taluk had cultivated sugarcane in their Inam lands and also produced a Takeed dated 12th March 1846 issued by the Amildar to the Sheikdar, directing him to charge a higher rate, if the Inamdars were to raise sugarcane crop in their Inam lands. This was enquired into and orders passed

* Narasimaraddy.
Venkatramanachetta
Venkatsubbachetta
Tolly Muddurca
Nrigantee Lavanya.

by Mr. Clerk, the then acting Deputy Commissioner, to levy higher rate on the said lands according to the Division Commissioner's Circular No. 122, referred to in the Jaheed above quoted.

2. Again in November 1889, the Amildar reported that the parties objected to pay the enhanced rate, on the ground that their lands are "Wet" depending on the water supply of the tank and that the manner of charging Faisal Jasti was discontinued when the Bataye system ceased; on this, Mr. Krishna Murti Rao passed orders not to levy the higher rate if the amount had not already been brought to account and collected.

3. The levying of enhanced rate of assessment under Rd and defunct rules, appears to be objectionable and hard and, in

my opinion, need not be en-
-forced.

4. The Vernacular proceedings connected with the above, are for-
-warded, herewith, for reference and obliging Return.

I have the honor to be
Sir,
Your most Obedient Servant

Ld Commissioner

Offg Dy Commissioner

(General Serial No. 30.)

(4)

1476.
16 AUG. 92
R 408

189

From

The Deputy Commissioner
Bangalore District

To

The Chief Secretary to
the Dewan of Mysore

Dated 9th August/92

Despatched

Received

Section

File No

Serial No

Enclosures No. V. Papers.

General No.
D No 132.

His docket No: 4835/97 dated
16th June last, requesting
to quote the orders under
which the Fasal Jasti and
Fasal Kanmi System —
was done away with, in
unsettled Talukas.

In returning the enclosures
received with the above,
has the honor to state
that the Fasal Jasti and
Fasal Kanmi System
is not done away with;
it is in force in the un-
= settled Talukas of this

District.

M Manuel Rao

for Deputy Commissioner
Bangalore District

4/a

3522
1171

2.11

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

REVENUE DEPARTMENT
Date
BANGALORE DIST.

Register No
236

27-6-92

154

REVENUE DÉPARTEMENTAL
2-7-92
6

REVENUE DEPARTMENT
Date 22-6-92
No 159
BANGALORE DIST.

4/C

130

4/8

Ref. 166

(4/2)

4039
6144

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

207

REVENUE DEPARTMENT
Date
2-7-92
No.

(General Serial No. 30.)

1892

From The Deputy Commissioner. Mysore District.

To The Chief Secretary to the Dewan of Mysore.

Dated 24th November 1892.

Despatched

Received

Enclosures No.

General No.

No. 777

Submitting that the system of levying higher rates on Inam lands, is reported to be in force in the unsettled portion of the Chamarajnagar Taluk -

Rev Dep. Mem 27/11.

Papers connected with this were sent to the Collector by with a Memo on 2nd Subsequent - With letter No 132 from the Personal Bangalore Dy.

30/11 R. Ger or Subsequent Memo give one three letters please Mr.

Dy Comrs of Bangalore 1/12 Mysore Secs 12094-5 of 16/1/93 RZ 246-92

Rec'd 28/11

(General Serial No. 30.)

1892-93

(6)

From

The Chief Secretary to the Dewan
of Mysore.

To The Dy. Commrs of
Bangalore & Mysore
Districts

Dated 16th Jan/93

Despatched

Received

Enclosures No.

General No. 12094-5
No. R. 7246-92

Calling for a Statement
Showing the amount collected
as "Fasal Justi" on wet
Inam lands in the un-
Settled Talukhs of Bangalore
& Mysore Districts.



dk
22-92
6

Proceedings of the Govt of H.H.
the Maharaja of Mysore

No 12094-5
R.F. 246-92

Read—

(1) Petition No 2904 dated 12th March 1890 from Subrayappa Shanbhog of Nosemur in Ankol Taluk bringing to notice the failure to levy Fasal Jasti on certain wet mann lands under the Nosemur Tank on which Sugar cane was grown.

(2) Letter No 12 dated 6th April 1891 from the Offg Dy Commr of Canara submitting a report upon the above complaint and stating that the levy of an enhanced rate of assessment under old and defunct rules appeared to be objectionable & hard and need not be enforced

(3) Docket No 132 dated 9th Augt 1892 from the Dy Commr Canara District stating, with reference to the enquiry made in No 4835/97 dated ~~16~~ 16 June 1892, that the Fasal Jasti and Fasal Kamm System is still in force in the unsettled Talukhs of the District

(4) Letter No 777 dated 24 Nov 1892 from the Dy Commr Mysore District reporting that the System referred to is in force in the unsettled portion of the Chamrajanagar Taluk alone.

Order No dated

January 1893.

Before passing final orders on the application of Sathyappah ~~on the recommendation of the Deputy Commissioner of Bangalore~~ of Bangalore & Mysore the Dy Commrs will be requested to submit a statement showing how much is collected as "Jodi Jasti" in the unsettled Taluks in their respective Districts.

14/1

Chief Secretary.

To The Dy Commissioners of Bangalore & Mysore

(General Serial No. 30.)

1893

1

14275.
5 - JUN. 93
R 3529

DEWAN OF MYSORE

From

The Deputy Commissioner
Mysore District.

To

The Chief Secretary to the Dewan of Mysore.

Dated 1st June 1893

Despatched

Received

Enclosures No. — one

General No.

No. 1428

Stating that the system of collection as Varal Jakti in the unsettled Talukas, does not prevail in this District.

Rec 5/6

5/6

Subordinate Memo in Contn.

7/2

M. G. C. P. Bangalore.—27-1-93.—5,000.

Rec 6/6

4/6.

(General Serial No. 28.)

Regd No. 4034

189 . 2. 5. 93

From The 2nd Genl Asst Commissioner

To The Commissr Mysore Division

Dated 29th April 1893

Despatched

Received 2 May

Rec'd Sept Office

General No. 270 } **189** .
No.

Rec'd 30/5/93

Enclosures—Number.

His No 3359 dated 13th instant enquiring upon what authority the information furnished in this Office docket No 164 dated 15th

November 1892, was dated.

In reply begs to state that the information furnished in the docket quoted in the above was based upon the report of the Mudaliar who seems to have misunderstood the order—

2. The system of Jasal Jasti on Inam lands does not prevail in the Chamarajnigar Taluk. Vide Kumaresa Yadavi No 371 dated 8th inst

H Raymond Esq Jrs
2d Govt Asst Commr
Imperial Dist

1894.

To,

The Deputy Commissioner,
Bangalore District.

Section
Dated 17-1-94
23
No. 11854
R.T. 246-92.

In drawing the Deputy Commissioner's attention to Govt: Proc: No: 12094-5 dated 16th January 1893, calling for the Submission of a Statement showing the amount collected as "Fasal Jasti" in the unsettled Taluks of his District, & subsequent reminders requests that the ~~Submission of the~~ Statement called for may be sub~~d~~ ~~early~~ without ~~any~~ further loss of time.

The delay of nearly 12 months in submitting the information required by Govt: ~~& the reminders were sent on the subject~~, ~~is very unsatisfactory and requires satisfactory explanation~~.

By Order
17/1
Under Secy

S No. 76

Can hardly be satisfactory to the Dy Commr himself

* No: 20712 & 10-6-93
No 188 & 5-7-93
No: 1577 & 24-7-93
No: 2776 & 11-8-93
No: 5597 & 23-9-93
No: 79348 6-11-93
No: 91420 & 11/12/93

Draft for affd

Draft for affd

Read, (17)



Correspondence ending with Docket No. 860 of 25th Jany 1894 from the Dy Com. Bangalore Dist- reporting with reference to the levy of higher rate Assessment (Fasal Jasti) on certain Inam lands, whereon Fasal Jasti was not collected in 1891-92 in the unsettled Taluks.

No 19447 / R.7. 246-92 dt 10-5-94 / 14

Sw. V.P.

Order thereon

On the Petition of Subbarayappa, Shanbhogue of Doddur village, Anekal Taluk, dt 12th March 1890 stating that Fasal Jasti should be collected from certain individuals of the said village, the

Dy Comr reported that the system of assessment was in force in the resettled Talukas of this Dist. The lands on which the Ramboliquesays that Faral Fasli Rs one lee levied, are Inam lands which were wet when Inam was granted. The Dy Comr is therefore informed that in this case, the lands being wet before the grant of the Inam, the higher rate imposed for Sugar cane crop should be disallowed.

Chief Secy

To

The Dy Comr Bangalore Dist. returning the file of papers received with his No 12 of 6th April 91

91 10/5